

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3432	
09/976,500			Peter Yeung	031941-094		
27045	7590	07/13/2006	•	EXAMINER		
ERICSSON INC.				OSMAN, RAMY M		
6300 LEGA	CY DRIV	Е				
M/S EVR C11				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PLANO, TX 75024				2157		

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/976,500	ı	YEUNG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ramy M. Os	sman	2157					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period fo	• •	-DIVIO 05T TO	EVELE AMONTHU	2) OD THIDTY (20) DAVC					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si- eply received by the Office later than three months after the n- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THI R 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and will statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a ation to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	19 April 2006.							
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	<i>yle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>35-37,40-42,44,45,47-57 and 63-</u>	68 is/are pendin	g in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>35-37,40-42,44,45,47-57 and 63-68</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election red	quirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ed in this National Stage					
* 0	application from the International Bu	•							
	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certifi	ed copies not receive	a.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date	B/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. This communication is in response to RCE amendment filed April 19, 2006, where applicant amended claims 35 and 63. Claims 35-37,40-42,44,45,47-57,63-68 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's amendments and arguments filed 4/19/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 35-37,40-42,44,45,47-57,63-68 under 102 (e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Barrett et al (US Patent No. 6,581,059).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 66 objected to because of the following informalities: On line 16, change "application further concealed..." to "application is further concealed". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2157

6. Claim 35 recites the limitation "said access request" in line 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There is no prior mention of an actual "access request" being sent. (Applicant is requested to correct any subsequent claim that contains this occurrence).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 35-41,43-47,53,57-59,61,63,65,66 and 67 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barrett et al (US Patent No 6,581,059).
- 9. In reference to claims 35,58,63 and 66, Barrett teaches a system, a personal profile control network and a method for distributing and maintaining end-user personal profile data in a data communications system, said system providing communication between applications using said personal profile data, the system comprising:

a central protection server storing personal protection profile information, wherein said personal protection profile information stored information for a particular user as to which personal profile data associated with said particular user is accessible by which particular application (column 5 lines 20-45 and column 6 lines 20-65);

Art Unit: 2157

a requesting application for requesting access to certain personal profile data associated with a particular user, said user being identified by a first user identity (column 6 lines 19-25);

an information providing application storing said certain personal profile data associated with said user wherein said certain personal profile data are stored separately from said central protection server storing said personal protection profile information (figure 1 and column 6 lines 20-65);

wherein said central protection server receives said access request for said certain personal profile data from said requesting application and grants or rejects said request by evaluating the associated personal protection profile information for said particular user (column 3 lines 30-67);

wherein said requesting application requests said certain personal profile data from said information providing application in response to said central protection server granting said access request (column 3 lines 30-67 and column 6 lines 40-67).

- 10. In reference to claim 36, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 35, wherein there is one access means for each of said requesting application and said information providing application (column 6 lines 1-35).
- 11. In reference to claim 37, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 35, wherein said central protection server provides a second user identity to the requesting application in response to said access request being granted, wherein said second user identity identifies the user within said information providing application and wherein said requesting application requests said certain personal profile data from said information providing application using said second user identity (column 7 line 45 column 8 line 35).

Application/Control Number: 09/976,500

Art Unit: 2157

12. In reference to claim 40, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 35, wherein the personal protection profile information are assigned one of a number of security levels, a lowest security level indicating that all personal profile data access is prevented for every application, and a highest security level indicating that all personal profile data is freely available (column 6 lines 15-60).

Page 5

- 13. In reference to claims 41 and 59, Barrett teaches the system according to claims 36 and 58, wherein an interface between said requesting application and said respective access means comprises an Application Programmable Interface based on a generic markup language (column 6 lines 1-35).
- 14. In reference to claim 43, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 41, wherein access to requested end-user personal profile data is granted or rejected by the central server in communication with the requesting application (Summary and column 6 lines 40-67).
- 15. In reference to claims 44 and 64, Barrett teaches the system according to claims 35 and 63, wherein access to said requested personal profile data is granted or rejected by the central server in communication with the information providing application (Summary and column 6 lines 40-67).
- 16. In reference to claims 45 and 65, Barrett teaches the system according to claims 35 and 63, wherein access to said requested personal profile data is granted or rejected by the central server in communication with the requesting application and the information providing application (Summary and column 6 lines 40-67).

Art Unit: 2157

17. In reference to claim 47, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 36, wherein user identity translating means are provided in the access means of the requesting application (column 7 line 45 – column 8 line 35).

- 18. In reference to claims 53 and 67, Barrett teaches the system according to claims 36 and 66, wherein the access means of the information requesting or providing application includes means for encrypting the user identity (column 7 line 34 column 8 line 30).
- 19. In reference to claim 57, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 35, wherein at least some of the applications include respective cache memory for temporarily holding information about access requests, and a previously used session can be reused at least for a given time period (column 7 lines 1-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. Claims 42,48-51 and 60 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatentable over Barrett (US Patent No 6,581,059) in view of Weschler (US Patent No 6,757,720).
- 22. In reference to claims 42 and 60, Barrett teaches the system according to claims 41 and 59, including HTML (column 8 lines 34-40). Barrett fails to explicitly teach wherein the generic

markup language is XML. However, Weschler does teach managing profile data via a profile service engine (Abstract and column 4 lines 45-67). Weschler discloses using a markup language such as XML for the usefulness of it dynamic formatting capabilities (column 8 lines 20-40 and column 9 lines 50-67).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Barrett by making the markup language as XML as per the teachings of Weschler for the usefulness of it dynamic formatting capabilities.

In reference to claim 48, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 35. Barrett fails to 23. explicitly teach wherein a general Document Type Definition (DTD) is defined to allow flow of personal data between said requesting application and said information providing application. However, Weschler teaches managing profile data via a profile service engine (Abstract and column 4 lines 45-67). Weschler discloses DTD's for authenticating request messages (column 9 lines 50-67 and column 16 lines 18-65).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Barrett by giving DTD's for data flow as per the teachings of Weschler since it is a structure of markup languages for providing authentication.

- In reference to claim 49, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 48. Barrett fails to 24. explicitly teach wherein for each user a specific user DTD agreement is given (Weschler, column 9 lines 50-67 and column 16 lines 18-65).
- 25. In reference to claim 50, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 36. Barrett fails to explicitly teach wherein said access request for said personal profile data is transported from the

Application/Control Number: 09/976,500

Art Unit: 2157

requesting application to its access means using Remote Method Invocation (RMI) (Weschler, column 8 lines 25-67).

Page 8

- 26. In reference to claim 51, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 50. Barrett fails to explicitly teach wherein the request is transported as an XML transport object tagged with information about the requested end-user personal profile data (Weschler, column 8 lines 20-40 and column 9 lines 50-67).
- 27. Claim 52 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatentable over Barrett (US Patent No 6,581,059) in view of Hoyle (US Patent No 6,771,290).

Barrett teaches the system according to claim 36, using HTTP and other protocols including secure protocols (column 5 and column 9 lines 15-35). Barrett fails to explicitly teach wherein an HTTPS protocol is used for communication between the access means of the requesting or information holding application and the central protection server. However, "Official Notice" is taken wherein HTTPS is a well-known security protocol for communication over HTTP, as is taught by Hoyle (column 12 lines 5-15).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Barrett by making the HTTP communication into HTTPS protocol as per the teachings of Hoyle for the purpose of secure communication over HTTP.

28. Claims 54-56,61,66 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatentable over Barrett (US Patent No 6,581,059) in view of Hind et al (US Patent No 6,826,690).

Application/Control Number: 09/976,500

Art Unit: 2157

29. In reference to claims 54,62 and 68, Barrett teaches the system according to claims 36,61 and 66. Barrett fails to explicitly teach wherein the request is digitally signed with at least one of a private key of the access means of the requesting application and a private key of the access means of the information providing application. However, Hind teaches generating a digital signature for a request using a servers private key for secure communication purposes (Summary and column 13 lines 30-60).

Page 9

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Barrett wherein the request is digitally signed with at least one of a private key of the access means of the requesting application and a private key of the access means of the information providing application as per the teachings of Hind for the purpose of secure participation of entities in communication.

- 30. In reference to claim 55, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 54, wherein the request is digitally signed with a private key of the central protection server, and a digital signature of the access means are verified in the central protection server (Hind, Summary and column 13 lines 30-60).
- 31. In reference to claim 56, Barrett teaches the system according to claim 55, wherein the central server means comprises means for encrypting at least the second user identity used by the information providing application (Hind, Summary and column 13 lines 30-60).

Conclusion

32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Patent No US006647384B2, Gilmour teaches managing user profiles and authorizing access to the profiles.

Patent Publication No US 20020143961A1, Siegel et al, teaches access control capabilities to customer profiles.

Patent No US006820204B1, Desai et al, teaches selectively sharing information over the Internet.

Patent No US007003546B1, Cheah teaches a method of controlled distribution of information over a network.

Patent No US006275824B1, O'Flaherty et al, teaches managing data privacy in a database system.

Patent No US006944669B1, Saccocia teaches sharing personal information with websites.

Patent No US005761662A, Dasan teaches retrieving information based on a user profile.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramy M. Osman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RMO July 10, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER